


PEGASUS



LONDON INTERNATIONAL UFO CONGRESS. 24 - 25 MAY 1981

**JOURNAL OF THE
SURREY INVESTIGATION GROUP
ON AERIAL PHENOMENA**

JULY
AUGUST
1981

THE SECOND LONDON INTERNATIONAL UFO CONGRESS.

This truly International Congress proved to be of immense interest during the two day programme, which took place at the Mount Royal Hotel, Marble Arch, London W1. on Sunday and Monday 24th and 25th May 1981. (Cover shows Dr Bruce Maccabee USA and Mike Prewett SIGAP)

The slick presentation owed much to the hard work put in by the various members of BUFORA before and during this event. The wealth of international talent produced several hours of fascinating talks, which unfortunately cannot be reproduced in our few meagre pages. However, the "behind the scenes" discussions held between visiting Ufologists proved to be of equal interest and many new friendships were made during the two day sessions. As a result of this, a number of very interesting facts came to light and these have been covered in this issue of our magazine.

.....

CONTENTS THIS MONTH

Pages 2/3/4	Changing Views On The Extra- Terrestrial Hypothesis.	Dr.Bruce Maccabee.
Pages 5 & 7	Conference "Snippets"	Omar Fowler.
Page 6	Conference Photo Page	
Pages 8 & 9	A Strange Smelling UFO	Mike Prewett.
Page 10	New UFO Reports	SIGAP
Page 11	Jean Bastide's Cartoon Time	Jean Bastide (France)
Page 12	Scottish Newsletter	Peter A Hill.
Page 13	Tale Enders	News (various)
Page 14	Exchange Information	(Rear Cover)

SUBSCRIPTIONS

SIGAP membership subscriptions are now due (1st September).
They remain at £4.00 p.a. for a further year.

* CHANGING VIEWS ON THE EXTRA-TERRESTRIAL HYPOTHESIS

Extracts from a talk by Dr. BRUCE MACCABEE Ph.D. (USA)

It has been suggested that I talk about the changing views of the ETH and I have modified my talk to that suggestion. I will be talking about the ETH hypothesis on a philosophical plane, on a more concrete level, I will be presenting four UFO reports. As an experimental scientist, it is my opinion that people who stand around talking theory all the time aren't going to get anywhere at the present time and that any talk on UFO's should have at least one good UFO case, with a lot of rigorous investigation to back it up.

The ETH (Extra-Terrestrial Hypothesis) can be most easily stated as 'alien beings' or something from outer space are visiting the earth and we have heard that this is the most popular explanation for UFO reports. However the ETH view is unscientific, it is not specific enough to be quantifiable and you can deduce contradictory predictions from it. To check the hypothesis, you would need independent evidence about extra-terrestrials, that is evidence not induced by UFO sightings, but induced by independent observations and theory. For example the biological and evolutionary theories tend to predict extra-terrestrial intelligences as if they existed, would probably not look like us. You could call it the ETM (extra-terrestrial monster) theory. If all creature reports described anti-humanoids or non humanoids, one might be tempted to say that the reports provide indirect support for the extra-terrestrial hypothesis because they are in line with the ETM hypothesis and therefore both the ETH and the ETM hypothesis could be correct.

Other theories, notably those derived from astronomy, argue against the ETH, mainly based on the theory that "they can't get here from there", or there is simply the "they can't" hypothesis. The "they can't" hypothesis is slightly compatible with the idea that space ships might arrive once every ten thousand years or so, but this is dubious. From the "they can't" point of view UFO reports can't be related to extra-terrestrials, because there are too many of them and therefore the UFO reports provide no support for the extra-terrestrial hypothesis.

Other proposals have been made to explain UFO reports and they can be divided into two types, those that argue that there is nothing really new going on and those which argue that there really is

something new. The ETH hypothesis would fall into the class that there is something new going on, while the "ball lightning" and other hypothesis, although they may be new to science, are not new in the same sense that the ETH would represent something new going on.

There have been a number of proposals to explain all UFO reports:- New Secret Weapons, Foreign Intervention, Hoaxes, Psychological, Misperceptions of Natural or Man Made Phenomena.... I have called these the "All reports explainable hypothesis" (AREH), this includes all possible explanations based on what is known. Everything that does'nt belong to the AREH, involve unknowns. I might point out that ball lightning and "earthquake lights" are marginally unknowns, so by explaining a UFO report as ball lightning, is like explaining one unknown with another unknown. The other explanations involve things we don't know anything about, some of these unknowns are likely to be less true than others, but we don't really know how to assign a probability to these, as to which is most likely to be true.

From the scientific point of view, only one hypothesis is specific enough to be non- selfcontradictory and that is the overriding hypothesis that all reports are explainable (AREH). However, even the AREH needs one supplementary qualification, namely that it can only apply to UFO cases with sufficient information that an explanation should be possible. Insufficient information cases can then be thrown out. Unfortunately this requirement has often been used as a loophole, as cases that have not been explained have been thrown out because of the argument that there was not enough information. That is not how you should look at it. You should look at a case and try and evaluate how much information there is in a case and then decide whether or not you should be able to explain it and if you can't explain it, you then have to admit it and it is just not a question of not having sufficient information.

The AREH (All Reports Explainable Hypothesis) allows a wide range of explanations for UFO reports, in particular it is generous enough to allow a specific solution for each UFO sighting. But the weakness of the AREH is also the scientific strength, namely that each UFO sighting must have a specific explanation. It is not good enough to merely suggest a list of possible explanations, a specific explanation must be given for each UFO sighting if the AREH is to be true. If only one case with sufficient information cannot be explained, the AREH fails. I will elaborate on this a little bit, when a UFO report makes the headlines, you will typically find lots of well known scientists making

statements that "Oh, it was this..or that...or something else", but remember to be perfectly scientific about it, any particular sighting has to have a specific explanation, not many explanations. I don't care if you call it a specific type of psychological explanation or a specific type of real objective phenomena explanation, it has to be a specific explanation. So, when a noted scientist makes a comment that a particular UFO sighting could have been stars or planets, you know that he really doesn't know what he is talking about, because if he did, he would name the star or planet.

If the AREH fails in one or more cases, the subject is left open for speculation as to whether or not UFO's exist, because a failure of the All Reports Explainable Hypothesis in only one case, means we have got something brand new.

N.B. At this stage Dr. Bruce Maccabee introduced a number of slides showing the results of his minute investigation to prove that the light filmed by a T.V. crew over New Zealand recently, could not have possibly been explained by Japanese "Squid Boats", as postulated by one scientist. He then continued with further slides to show the results of comparative tests of various photographs taken through the aircraft's window (i.e. the Argosy plane involved in the sighting report).

The Trent Photo's : These familiar pictures were first made public in June of 1950 and they have been argued "pro" & "con" for years. Typical throw away explanations were "it looks like a garbage can lid" and things like that. (Photo one and two shown) Photo one shows the object photographed by Paul Trent over his farm in Oregon 11th May 1950. Photo two is what looks like an oval object, a photo claimed to have been taken over Rouen, France in 1954.

N.B. Dr Bruce Maccabee then introduced a number of slides showing the results of his investigation into the photometry of the Trent photo's. These were originally shown at the 'first symposium' of the Center For UFO Studies in 1976.

Note that the Trent photo's are sufficiently explicit that there must be a hoax explanation if the AREH (All Reports Explainable Hypothesis) is to be satisfied. If there is no explanation for the Trent photo's, the AREH fails. The print photo's have been investigated by astronomer William Hartman during a Colorado University study directed by Dr Condon. Hartman travelled to Oregon where the photo's were taken to talk with the Trent's. He also analysed the original negatives by using measurements of relative brightness and concluded that the object was distant. He endorsed the report and claimed this case was a true sighting.

CONFERENCE "SNIPPETS"

DAVID HASELL:DIRECTOR U.P. INVESTIGATIONS RESEARCH INC. CANADA.(See photo)
A number of intriguing events were disclosed during the talk,including the probability that the Canadian Government undertook a UFO investigation project in the early 1950's. It seems that an interest was taken at that time in an energy form called "geo-magnetism" and various tests were undertaken with vehicles to try out this new technology.

Shortly afterwards a government investigation began into UFO's, this was under the code name "Operation Magnet" and involved the setting up of at least one UFO "detector station" in Ottawa. A photograph of this station was shown on the screen, it consisted of a small building with two high aerial masts. It was in operation during 1952/54 and is believed to have picked up UFO's on several occasions with its gravitometer instruments. (Aug.8th 1954 Bluebook:14 Vol.1.No.1.) The project went "underground" later and subsequently information became more difficult to obtain.

JOAQUIM FERNANDES & J.M.ANDRADE CEAFFI.PORTUGAL.

A number of UFO events in Portugal were discussed, including "Fatima" & a so called sighting of the Virgin Mary. It was obvious that in such a strongly religious country, the airing of such views was a delicate problem and investigations had to be carried out with some caution.

JAN FJELLANDER.SWEDEN.

A story concerning Uri Geller and his strange powers, was related by Mr Fjellander, who was present at one of the controlled scientific tests carried out on Mr Geller. Uri was in one room next to a table under the observation of scientists, while in another room, a watch had been placed in a box inside a locked suitcase, which was sat on by another scientist. The idea was for Uri Geller to transport the watch from the locked box, through the wall and onto the observation table, by means of his psychic powers.

After three hours nothing had happened and finally it was decided to stop the experiment for half an hours break. The T.V. cameras were switched off and everyone moved into another room for coffee etc. What do you think was on the observation table when they all went back.....yes, the watch. They checked the case,it was still locked, they opened the box and it was empty. As is often the case with psychic phenomena, it happened when nobody was around, however just by accident, one T.V. camera had been left switched on. They played back the video recorder and they saw, from just this one angle the watch slowly appear on a number of T.V. frames, disappear and then return and fully materialize on the table.



OMAR FOWLER DISCUSSING THE UNO CASE WITH AASA WIDERBERG (NORWAY)



CANADIAN AUTHOR D.A.HAISSELL PICTURED BY THE SIGAP EXHIBITION.

Conference Snippets Contd..

CHIT-CHAT around the coffee tables produced some remarkable results. Omar Fowler played a tape recording of the Aldershot UNO, that strange undulating noise recorded by Stephen Osbiston between 2305 & 2320 on the night of 20th October 1978. The tape was played to a small group of delegates during a conference break. They consisted of Ufologists from Scandanavia, Germany, France & Canada. One of the people present, AAsa Widerberg from Norway, recorded the noise of the UNO on her small hand recorder. Later in the day, during another break, AAsa played the sound to some friends of hers. It was during this small demonstration that two further strangers appeared on the scene, they were from Mexico and were most interested in the recording.

As a result of this, Senor Eduardo Castro Ruiz and Senor Sergio Sanchez contacted Omar Fowler and asked for all of the details concerning the recording. It appears that this sound was identical to a noise that they had both heard when they were underwater diving in Lake Alchichica in Mexico in 1976. Nothing could be heard above the surface of the lake and it was not until they had dived to a depth of 30 metres that this strange sound was evident. They could find nothing to account for it and it was (and still is) a mystery.

They asked if they could have a copy from the original tape and this has since been transcribed and sent to them in Mexico. A copy has also been sent to Jan Fjellander in Stockholm.

If in fact this UNO sound is a recording of a hovering UFO, could the two Mexican's have heard the sound of a UFO resting at the bottom of the lake ? How many times have we read reports of UFO's breaking through the surface of water reservoirs, lakes and the sea ? It seems probable that if these reports are true (or even one such report), that UFO's could be using these areas to find seclusion. Presuming that they come from an alien enviroment (and this does seem likely), then our atmosphere would be alien to them and their craft would be entirely self-supporting. It follows that they could just as easily sit at the bottom of the sea as perched on a lonely moor.....

THE EARL OF CLANCARTY (Brinsley Le Poer Trench), chatted to SIGAP members present at the conference, in between his T.V. interviews. While some of his theories may not be shared by the group, the conversation was most stimulating.

APOLOGIES for the majority of speakers at the conference who have not been mentioned in this brief report. We also have many other photographs which could not be included because of the high costs involved.

O.F.

The Hoppood family decided to make an early start to their summer holiday, hoping to avoid the heavy traffic likely to be encountered later in the day. The family consisted of Mr & Mrs Hoppood and their two daughters Karen (14) and Helen (13), all living in Southampton. Mr Hoppood had hired a car for the journey, as he owned a van for work. They set off at 0345 on Thursday 30th July this year, heading towards Salisbury in order to pick up the main road to Wales.

Mr Hoppood takes up the story :- "We set off just after half past three and got to the Cadnam roundabout, there was nothing unusual up to then and we were pretty relaxed". "Then the first thing that we noticed was an awful smell, like sewage in the car, which I thought could be explained by the fields being done this time of year", "But this was nothing like the smell that we had in the car and we joked about it at the time". "It was very strong, but we just let it go without any more comments, about this time we were approaching a place called Wellam and this is where the first funny thing happened". "We smelt a very strong smell of coffee, just as though someone had opened a tin right next to me, I thought someone was pouring out a cup of coffee and I asked for a cup". "My wife replied that she didn't have any coffee and then the girls smelt it as well". "We thought that was strange, but after a while ignored it". "After a short time, we were approaching a hill called Pepperbox Hill, which is on the way to Salisbury". "At about 200yards, I spotted what I thought was the tail light of a lorry, that is exactly what it looked like, a pinkish offside light which was just around the bend of the road". "I was doing about 50mph and I decided to put my foot down and get by it before we got to the other side of Pepperbox hill".

"When I got within 150 yards, I wasn't quite sure if it was a lorry light, as there was only one light, by this time I should have seen both lights". "At that particular moment the light moved to the other side of the road at about 8' to 10' off the ground and at that particular moment I became very excited". "I didn't really think about UFO's at the time and started shouting - look, look". "We all saw this thing and I said don't panic. I don't know why, but I had been increasing my speed and I was up to 70 mph". "I got within 80-100 yards of it and by this time I could relate the size of the thing, because it was low and I could relate it to the bushes and trees at the side of the road". "By this time I had stopped closing up on it and we were both

matching the same speed. It was close enough for me to say without doubt that it was something I had never seen before". "It was round in shape, pinky red, but not very bright, circular in shape like a car tyre, but it must have been round like a ball. It was between the size of a car tyre and lorry tyre, it could'nt have been any bigger, that is definite". "At this point we were all getting a bit worried, because I was getting pretty close to this thing. Then as we approached the top of Pepperbox hill, this thing lifted itself from the position it was in and rose to about 40' into the sky and disappeared over the top of the hill and just went out of sight". "We were going at some speed then and we shot over the top of the hill and I asked the girls to look around and see if it was there, which they did do, but there was no sign of it".

"Things did'nt stop there, because just before Salisbury, we had this very strong smell of sewage again, back in the car and it was stronger than it was before, this was between two to five minutes later".


"Then about ten minutes later we had a very strong lemon smell in the car, by this time the feeling was electric in the car, I can't explain it". "It was an uncanny feeling, the only way I can explain it is like the air was electric as though it would make everything crackle and you could feel it on the hairs at the back of your head".

"We came to the conclusion that the car must be haunted, there was no explanation, there was no way that I could say that was proof of any thing. I decided to report it, so that it could be logged by someone and may be of benefit later, as far as I am concerned it was quite an experience".....

When questioned about the incident, Mrs Hopgood confirmed what her husband had already said. The duration of the sighting was only about twenty seconds. The car behaved normally and showed no signs of any faults. Mrs Hopgood commented that the incident was very frightening. The two girls were questioned about the electric feeling and they also felt it, for about an hour, "until we got past Warminster" commented Mrs Hopgood. "I did'nt know what to do" said Mr Hopgood, "it was uncanny", "I did'nt know what was going to happen next and I was scared". It was remarked to Mr Hopgood that he was a big fellow and looked as though he could look after himself, "but this was different, this was something strange that I could'nt account for. I have seen some things in the past, but have always been able to find an explanation, I have always been very sceptic and never really believed in anything"...

N.B. This report is still under investigation.

At 2305 on the night of 30th July (the same day as the previous report) at Ash, near Aldershot, Hants. David White sat watching T.V., when the screen became distorted by interference, he shouted a comment to his sister Carole (18) who was just about to get into bed in her upstairs bedroom. Carole's attention was attracted at the same time to flashing lights passing her window, she looked out and saw what she has sketched below:-



lights Red & White

The object was visible for only a few seconds and at first, Carole thought that the lights were on an aeroplane, but then she saw the object more clearly, with the lights rotating around the body of the craft and flashing. It sped past at quite a fast speed and was soon out of sight behind some nearby trees. The object itself was not illuminated and was described as a clearly defined black shape, as shown in the sketch. It was at a low angle of about 15° and was last seen travelling in an Easterly direction without any sound.

S.967

TRIANGULAR FLYING OBJECT

Basingstoke,

Investigator Hilda Downes.

Hants.

15-8-81

Hilda noticed a moving light travelling across the sky while looking out of her window at her home in Basingstoke, the time was 2229 on Sunday the 15th August this year. She grabbed her 10x50 binoculars and ran outside into her garden.

The object which appeared to be moving silently at high altitude, was travelling fast, but she was able to make note of the characteristics as follows:- looking through the glasses it was enlarged to about 2", it had a smokey grey appearance in the shape of a triangle, with a series of lights across the base of the triangle (see sketch). The lights were in a straight row and were in a red, blue, white and orange series, with a noticeable gap between the white and orange light. The lights were flashing in the sequence shown and it travelled in a West to Easterly direction. Hilda telephoned another witness in the Camberley area, who confirmed the sighting as it passed overhead.

Direction of
flightRed
Blue
White
Orange

Investigator O.Fowler.

2-8-81

Mr M.S.Breakspear had just taken his dog out into the back garden before retiring for the night and he was looking up at the sky at the stars, the time was about 2300. He has a telescope and is interested in viewing the heavens whenever the night sky is clear.

His attention was attracted to two lights moving across the sky and weaving in and out of each other. They were moving from West to East at high altitude and were travelling very fast. It was pretty obvious that they were not aircraft or satellites because of their movements. The lights had soon passed overhead and they then separated, one shot off to the East and one veered off to the South. Mr Breakspear watched the light moving to the South as it shot out of sight like a "shooting star". When he turned to follow the light moving East, it had gone. The whole event happened in less than thirty seconds, there was no sound heard and the objects passed over at an angle of approx. 75° . The objects had a definite round shape and the colour was orange, with a grey haze around the light.

Orange centre,
with greyish haze



JEAN BASTIDE'S CARTOON TIME....



Another glowing canyon... it must be Spielberg again.

Peter A Hill

My Newsletter No 3 (Pegasus March/April 1981) mentioned the BUFORA 1982 National Conference, to be held in Edinburgh. Further details are now available. The date of the main session is Saturday 13 March 1982. Registration will commence on the previous evening, with a Friday reception for all those who arrive for that date for an early start on the Saturday morning.

Registration will continue on Saturday morning, prior to the official opening at 10.00 h. It is hoped to have several papers from professional scientists to give the Conference plenty of ideas to discuss and think about. Replies are currently awaited from some invited speakers and names cannot be disclosed until all these replies have been received.

The other main feature on 13 March will be a symposium on the close encounter by Mr Robert Taylor of Livingston which took place on 9 November 1979. (Campbell, S J Transient Aerial Phenomena Vol 1, No 2, 43/46; Keatman, M & Collins, A, FSR 79 Vol 25, No 6 - Vol 26, No 1 & Vol 26, No 3). This fascinating case remains open; it is almost unique in the British Isles for certain features which make it such an excellent case that it is likely to be studied for several years yet; amongst these attributes are:

- (a) a known, reliable reporter with a steady job and living locally;
- (b) a rapid response by investigators, in time to take photographs of physical evidence;
- (c) examinations by more than one UFO Association;
- (d) measurement of the ground marks and holes by the Livingston Police;
- (e) torn clothing of the reporter, examined by The Police Forensic Science Laboratory at Edinburgh;
- (f) inspection of the site by a number of well-known ufologists, including: S Campbell, A Collins, Professor D Haisell, P A Hill and M Keatman.

Saturday 13 March 1982 will later feature the BUFORA dinner which has become a feature of Conferences since the First London International Congress in 1979.

On Sunday, 14 March 1982, it is hoped to arrange a trip to the site of the close encounter at Livingston before dispersing at mid-morning. Although the ground evidence has long since gone, it is of much interest to visit such sites personally. Bring the diagrams and published photographs and it will be found that the site can be clearly recognised.

All SIGAP members are cordially invited to attend this Conference. If you are unable to arrive on Friday, British Rail operate sleepers from London (King's Cross) to Edinburgh on Friday evenings, reaching Edinburgh in good time for Saturday morning. An inclusive Rail/Hotel/Conference package has been negotiated, at £67.69 from any station in Surrey. This includes return 2nd class rail, two nights' accommodation and attendance at Conference. Extras include the special Dinner, cocktails etc. There is also a choice of two coach companies which operate from London to Edinburgh; we hope to see you.

"TALE ENDERS"

"INTERNATIONAL UFO DIRECTORY" (Section 1-individuals) 1st edition 1981 from: The Provisional International Committee for UFO Research. Costs 50p + 15p for p&p. It includes the names and addresses with telephone numbers of 213 prominent people involved with the UFO phenomena, from Aasheim to Zigel.

Please send Cheque or Postal Order to Peter A Hill, 47A Easter Bankton, Murieston, Livingston, W.Loathian EH54 9BD.

USSR INTERESTED IN US?

SIGAP has received a 'request for information' card from a Mr V.I.Sanarov in the USSR. The card arrived inside a plain envelope from the THAMES POLYTECHNIC, posted in London. Why should the Ruskies pay the postage, just pass the charge onto the London Ratepayers....we will reply, just out of curiosity.

THE BUFORA JOURNAL has recently folded up, with the sad resignation of Journal Editor Norman Oliver. It has been replaced with a type of news Bulletin, it is obviously cheaper to produce. Possibly as a result of this, we have received several enquiries about our subscription rates for the Pegasus Magazine.....YOUR SUBSCRIPTIONS ARE DUE THIS MONTH. THEY REMAIN AT £4.00 p.a. PLEASE SEND YOURS TO MIKE PREWETT:SECRETARY.

RICHARD COLBORNE

Has moved to Manchester and passes on his best wishes to all members. Anyone wishing to contact him, can do so by getting in touch with Mike Prewett, who has his address.

BUFORA'S NATIONAL CONFERENCE 1982

Will take place in Edinburgh, Saturday 13th & Sunday 14th March 1982. If you are interested in attending, or require further details, please contact our secretary.

NORWEGIAN INSTITUTE FOR SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND INFORMATION (NIVFO)

Are the latest organisation to contact us with a view to exchanging UFO reports etc. Scandanavia has become increasingly prominent in the field of UFO research and we welcome this opportunity of obtaining first hand information from this new Norwegian group.

UFO EXHIBITION AT PORTSMOUTH 7th & 8th NOVEMBER 1981.

We have been requested to send exhibits to the above exhibition and have agreed to assist. If you are interested in further details please contact Omar Fowler.

Surrey Investigation Group on Aerial Phenomena

Exchange Magazines: Northern UFOLOGY, BUFORA Journal, FSR, S.T.V. Quest (Bristol), UFO Insight (Crewe), SAPRT (Romsey), Radio 210, CEFAl (Portugal), MAPIT (Manchester), UFO Network, GEP (Germany) UFO News Bulletin (Bristol), Guernsey UFO Research Gp. J.B. (France), Odyssey (Yugoslavia), Archives For UFO Research (Sweden) CUN (Italy)

The magazine is published bi-monthly and is intended for SIGAP members only. Copies of the magazine may be purchased by non-members at a cost of .50 per copy.



To investigate the UFO phenomenon locally, a small group of people got together early in 1967. The outcome - the Surrey Investigation Group on Aerial Phenomena. Primarily founded to investigate reports in Surrey and surrounding counties, Sigap has contributed to studies in many parts of Southern England.

News of a sighting - often through the Sigap 'Hot-line' - Farnborough 41012 - triggers an instant and well-established course of action. This preliminary enquiry procedure is designed to eliminate obvious explanations. With so much going on in the sky today - much of it generated by man himself - over 80 per cent of reports can be explained in terms of natural and man-made phenomena.

If Sigap investigators find no immediate explanation as a result of preliminary enquiries, the group will send someone to interview the witnesses, and where possible, will visit the site from which the observation was made. Sigap then calls on the expertise of its own consultants in an effort to explain the sighting. The group liaises with similar organisations throughout the country, and often finds a pattern of activity consistent with that in other areas.

Our most significant reports are sent to the Center for UFO Studies in Evanston, Illinois, USA. The Center is run by Dr J Allen Hynek, Professor of Astronomy at Northwestern University, and for 20 years, was consultant to the US Air Force on UFOs.

Group Secretary: Michael G. Prewett, 20 Loman Road, Mytchett, Camberley, Surrey.

Chairman & Investigation Co-ordinator: Omar Fowler, 149 Mytchett Road, Mytchett, Camberley, Surrey.

© All articles appearing in this magazine are copyright and may NOT be reproduced without the express permission of SIGAP.